Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,778	GROSS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SCARLETT GOON	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>SCARLETT GOON</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Laurence Colton, Applicants' atty.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 November 2010	Time: <u>3:42 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes □ No	unt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
see below		
Claims discussed:		
see below		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER		
Mr. Colton was contacted regarding Applicants' amendment filed amendment did not put the claims in condition for allowance, spe paragraph. Furthermore, the amendment to include a method of supplication, and further inc	cifically, because they raised issu use was previously restricted out ion history showed that three RCI subject matter in the method of pr nd furthermore suggests the claim using the disclosed composition	les under 35 USC § 112/2 <sup>nd</sup> and pertains to non-elected Es have been filed in this eparation could be identified led concentration. However, the for treatment as that may be
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r     did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar,		
/SCARLETT GOON/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623		
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)